

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** J JA0-34191 11/24/97 THORNTON 08/976,579 **EXAMINER** LM02/0819 TUCKER, C OLIFF & BERRIDGE **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER P 0 BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA VA 22320 2766 DATE MAILED: 08/19/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks





Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/976,579 Applica...(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit

Thornton et al.

	Christopher M. Tucker	2766	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
☐ This action is FINAL .			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,	ot for formal matters, prosecutio 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	n as to the meri	ts is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Fai application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Ext 37 CFR 1.136(a).	lure to respond within the period	for response w	ill cause the
Disposition of Claims			
X Claim(s) 1-27	is/are p	ending in the ap	oplication.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are wi	thdrawn from c	onsideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is	/are allowed.	
	is	/are rejected.	
Claim(s)			
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction	on or election re	quirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drafts See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's	bjected to by the Examiner. is approved are. er. er. erity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(des of the priority documents have. Number) the International Bureau (PCT Recipionity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	l). e been ule 17.2(a)).	·
☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application ☐ Notice Of Informal Patent ☐ Notice Of Information ☐ Notice Of Informat	D-948		

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Serial Number: 08/976579 Page 2

Art Unit:

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification does not sufficiently recite how the token generating means would generation said tokens. The applicant only states on page 7 line 33 - page 8 line 8 that the tokens are generated and encoded, for example, on a programmed general purpose computer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Wolff et al. (U.S. 5,671,282).

As per claims 1 and 10, Wolff et al. disclose a system and method for producing a paper document, comprising:

Serial Number: 08/976579 Page 3

Art Unit:

an encoder that encodes information about the document (e.g., information in the human readable portion) into digital machine readable form and may include a unique identifier, version number, date, and other relevant information (column 3 lines 35-41; column 4 lines 23-27; column 5 lines 50-53);

a printer that prints the encoded information onto the document (column 4 lines 16-20; column 5 lines 44-46).

Wolff et al. do not explicitly disclose a token generator that generates tokens relating to at least one other electronic document. However, they do recite the above mentioned machine readable form that was print out by the print engine of the server subsystem (column 4 lines 16-20). The information that was encoded in machine readable form has both parts which the applicant attributes to a token: the reference and the access information (page 2 lines 10-11 of applicant's disclosure). The information that was encoded in machine readable form comprises information about the author, descriptions, or tracking information (column 5 lines 50-63). Therefore, the information that was encoded in machine readable form is inherently identical to a token, or in the alternative, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of applicant's invention to use a token since they both serve the same purpose and effect the same end result.

As per claims 2 and 11, Wolff et al. disclose an electronic scanner for scanning the encoded information (column 5 lines 5-24).

Serial Number: 08/976579 Page 4

Art Unit:

As per claims 3 and 12, Wolff et al. disclose recognition software/hardware to interpret information on the document (column 5 lines 9-24).

As per claims 4 and 13, Wolff et al. disclose that the unique identifier and additional information contained in the machine readable portion allows the document and relevant information to be retrieved from memory (column 3 lines 35-50).

As per claims 5 and 14, Wolff et al. disclose the printer to print human readable identifiers relating to the printed information that was encoded in machine readable form on the paper document (column 3 line 36-39).

As per claims 6 and 15, Wolff et al. disclose a memory for storing the electronic form of the document and descriptions and tracking information for the document (column 3 line 65 - column 4 line 2).

As per claims 7 and 16, Wolff et al. disclose that the encoded information may contain information relating to the current holder of the document (column 5 lines 50-63).

As per claims 8 and 17, Wolff et al. disclose that the encoder encrypts the machine readable information (column 4 lines 29-30).

As per claims 9 and 18, Wolff et al. disclose that the machine readable information contain authentication information which is specific to the document allowing it to be tracked and hence authenticated (column 3 lines 27-34).

As per claims 19-27, this method comprises the same limitations as in claims 1-9.

Serial Number: 08/976579

Art Unit:

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Christopher M. Tucker whose telephone number is (703) 306-5539.

CMT **CMT**

August 12, 1999

GAIL O. HAYES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Page 5